

From: [Edwards, R. Elliott \(EOIR\)](#)
To: [Nadkarni, Deepali \(EOIR\)](#)
Subject: FW: Charlotte Bonds (Initial Bond by DHS)
Date: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 10:11:00 AM

Good morning – FYI – comments from Judge Couch.

From: Couch, V. Stuart (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 10:04 AM
To: Edwards, R. Elliott (EOIR) <R.Elliott.Edwards@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>; Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR) <Theresa.Holmes-Simmons@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>; Pettinato, Barry (EOIR) <Barry.Pettinato@EOIR.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: RE: Charlotte Bonds (Initial Bond by DHS)

My recent experience is that DHS has "no bonded" all of the respondents before the bond motion is filed.

I reiterate my position that (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

VSC

From: Edwards, R. Elliott (EOIR)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 9:19 AM
To: Holmes-Simmons, Theresa H. (EOIR); Pettinato, Barry (EOIR); Couch, V. Stuart (EOIR)
Cc: Edwards, R. Elliott (EOIR)
Subject: Charlotte Bonds (Initial Bond by DHS)

Good morning Judges,

In what percentage of bond motions, does DHS set an initial bond?

DHS is concerned that the court is scheduling bonds in cases where no bond has been set for the detained alien.

Please share your personal experience.

HDQ is reviewing the Charlotte Immigration Bond docket.

Thank you,

CA Edwards

